



State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor

Kathleen Clarke
Executive Director

Lowell P. Braxton
Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210

PO Box 145801

Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801


801-538-5340

801-359-3940 (Fax)

801-538-7223 (TDD)

May 30, 2000

TO: Internal File

FROM: David Darby, Reclamation Specialist III, Project Lead 

RE: Technical Analysis of the Pines Tract Significant Revision, Canyon Fuel Company LLC, SUFCO Mine, ACT/041/002-SR99D-4

SUMMARY:

The Division received a significant revision to the SUFCO's Mining and Reclamation Plan (MRP) on July 16, 1999. This revision is for the addition of Federal Leases UTU-76195, Pines Tract Lease (PTL). Division determined the proposal to be administratively incomplete on September 7, 1999. The permittee submitted additional information on October 18, 1999, and the Division determined the new information Administratively Complete and ready for technical review.

Submittal of the PTL follows the permitting of the Box Canyon Amendment, the 150 acre Amendment and the 160 acre Incidental Boundary Change. These mining areas lie west of the proposed PTL. Mining has already taken place adjacent to Box Canyon and will advance through the 150 acre revision by the end of June, 2000. No surface facilities are planned other than a breakout in Muddy Creek Canyon for ventilation.

This lease addition has been under review by the U.S. Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) through the NEPA process resulting in development of the Pines Tract Project, Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS). On January 28, 1999, the Forest Service issued a Record of Decision (ROD) regarding this proposed action.

Several reports have been produced from studies conducted in the area. This Technical Analysis (TA) has drawn from all those known to have conducted studies and tests in the area. This Technical Memo is a hydrologic analysis of the proposed amendment incorporating information from the following reports.

- Pines Tract Project, Final Environmental Impact Statement, U.S. Forest Service and U.S. Bureau of Land Management (FEIS)

TECHNICAL MEMO

- Evaluation and Prediction of Potential Surface Subsidence Impacts from Longwall Mining under the Box Canyon Area, SUFCO Mine, Agapito Associates, Inc.(AGAPITO)
- Hydrology and Effects of Mining in the Quitchupah and Pines Coal-Lease Tracts, Central Utah, U.S.G.S. Report 90-4084, by Thiros & Cordy (USGS)
- Probable Impact From Longwall Coal Mining at the SUFCO Mine to the Hydrologic Balance of Box Canyon Creek, Sevier County, Utah (MAYO)

TECHNICAL ANALYSIS:

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE INFORMATION

Regulatory Reference: Pub. L 95-87 Sections 507(b), 508(a), and 516(b); 30 CFR Sec. 783., et. al.

GENERAL

Regulatory Reference: 30 CFR Sec. 783.12; R645-301-411, -301-521, -301-721.

Analysis:

The PTL is located on the high Wasatch Plateau of the Manti-La Sal National Forest in Sanpete County. The surface rock forms near level outcrops that rims the area around to steep gorges of Box Canyon and Muddy Creek Canyon. At the 8000 to 9000 feet elevation the area usually receive several feet of snow. The hard sandstone cap rock reduces erosion so that the high mountain streams flow clear and product a high quality runoff. The clarity of flow changes as it cuts over the softer clays, muds and shales of the lower formations which form the canyon slopes and bottoms.

The massive Castlegate Sandstone forms the consolidated rim of Box Canyon and Muddy Creek Canyon. The coal bearing units are found in the Blackhawk Formation which underlies the Castlegate Sandstone. The Blackhawk Formation contains interbedded sequences of sandstones, siltstones, shales, mudstones and coal. The Upper Price River Formation overlies the area to the east of the canyon and some knolls of the proposed lease.

Findings:

The permittee has submitted sufficient information for this section.

PERMIT AREA

Regulatory Requirements: 30 CFR Sec. 783.12; R645-301-521.

Analysis:

Several plates, such as 7-3, Hydrologic Monitoring Stations, show the Pines Tract Lease (PTL) located northeast of the existing lease. The East Fork of Box Canyon extends approximately 1.25 miles onto the PTL.

The substantial and unique environment in Box Canyon has been well documented and includes springs, a perennial stream with pools, mosses and ferns. The riparian area along the stream is therefore designated as a crucial wildlife habitat. Detailed evaluation of the plant and animal considerations can be found in the respective Technical Analysis.

Findings:

The information provided in Volume 2 of the MRP, the PHC in Volume 10 and in the amendment sufficiently defines environmental setting.

CLIMATOLOGICAL RESOURCE INFORMATION

Regulatory Reference: 30 CFR Sec. 783.18; R645-301-724.

Analysis:

Climatological information is provided in Chapter 7, page 7-23. Data has been collected at the mine surface facilities since July 1996. Normal annual precipitation at the mine is about 18 inches per year.

Findings:

The permittee has submitted sufficient information for this section.

HYDROLOGIC RESOURCE INFORMATION

Regulatory Reference: 30 CFR Sec. 701.5, 784.14; R645-100-200, -301-724.

Analysis:

Sampling and analysis.

The permittee had conducted surface and groundwater monitoring surveys via Mayo

TECHNICAL MEMO

Associates. Baseline hydrologic information is presented in Sections 7.2.4.1 and 7.2.4.2, and in the Probable Hydrologic Consequences Appendix 7-18. Water monitoring has been conducted on streams, springs, ponds and wells. The operator has presented the results in the Significant Revision (SR) submittal.

Baseline information.

Based on available scientific information and data collected by the permittee's consultants, the permittee has described the geologic and hydrologic setting on the PTL. Baseline information has been collected that identifies the premining features and characteristics of the site. Maps and cross-sections depict the geologic, hydrologic, mining and archeological resources. Literature and maps describe and identify stratigraphy, formation thickness, structural geologic features, mined areas, proposed mined areas, archeological sites, and surface structures.

Ground-water information.

The significant revision references the PHC included in the original MRP for a discussion of groundwater occurrence and recharge. There is general agreement among the studies that the recharge to the saturated zones is principally by snowmelt seeping into outcrops. Groundwater movement is controlled mainly by fractures, dip of the beds (dip is approximately 2 degrees to the northeast) and hydraulic conductivity of the strata. The movement of groundwater is regarded as relatively rapid (USGS). More seeps appear along the eastern edge of the walls consistent with the concept of groundwater following the dip slope.

Mayo and Associates have proposed a hydraulic disconnect between in-mine waters and near-surface groundwater. Mayo is considered a leading authority on isotopic dating of groundwater resources by some managing agencies and mining operators. Studies conducted by his firm have identified the groundwater regimes for several mining operations. Mayo and Associates contend the analysis of the groundwater for the PTL is substantiated by tritium analysis and carbon dating, which shows the mine waters to be very old (greater than 7,000 to 20,000 years) as compared to meteoric waters that replenish the near surface waters (MAYO and FEIS). "The cause of this disconnect is attributed to shale and mudstones in the Blackhawk Formation that hinder the downward migration of water" (FEIS). Mayo has concluded, "groundwater should not be diverted from the Castlegate Sandstone into the Blackhawk Formation" (FEIS).

Surface-water information.

Surface water sources are identified in the MRP. The permittee has mapped streams, springs and man-made ponds. Most of the stream flow is attributed runoff from snowmelt or rain. Spring flow contributes the most to the baseflow of the streams in later summer and fall months. Streams appear to be unquestionably perennial below the confluence of the tributaries. The low flows that emanate from spring in the upper reaches leave some to question if the

streams are not intermittent. The term perennial functioning has been used by the U.S. Forest Service to describe the upper reaches of the East Fork of Box Canyon. The West Fork of the East Fork of Box Canyon is protected from subsidence, however mining has been not been prevented by the U.S. Forest Service on the East Fork of the East Fork.

The permittee has committed to mapping the perennial flows of the Box Canyon Creek in Main Fork, the East Fork of the Main Fork, East Fork and East Fork of the East Fork of Box Canyon. Plate 7-3 identifies the location of monitoring points. The flow data will be compared to local precipitation data to determine what, if any, effects mining has had on the perennial flow. Table 7-2 identifies the water monitoring program and frequency at which monitoring will take place.

The Forest Service submitted comments on March 27, 2000, which identified the need to characterize the functionality of the existing stock watering ponds on the PTL. Pre-mining characterization of the ponds, consisting of drainage area, expected filling frequency and holding capacity, should provide information to determine if impacts occur and to what degree. Also, there are sections of the in Box Canyon drainage which contain flow at different times of the year, but appear to support riparian vegetation. The flow and frequency in these areas should be established to quantify water volumes in the event it should be impacted by subsidence. Specified areas identified on Plate 7-3 will be monitored on or near October 1 of each year to determine any extent of perennial stream flow.

Several stock watering ponds are located on the Pines Tract and Quitchupah Lease Tracts. Surface cracking due to mining related subsidence within the Quitchupah Lease has had impacts on some of the ponds. Action has been taken by SUFCO to mitigate the damage including applying bentonitic clay seals to the pond floors and hauling water in for livestock. SUFCO feels that erroneous claims have been made by ranchers, state and federal agencies.

SUFCO has been negotiating with DOGM, the USFS and local rancher's association to create a workable monitoring plan for the ponds, page 7-45b SUFCO commits to visiting the ponds within the Pines Tract and Quitchupah Lease in early summer of 2000 to photograph the conditions of each year and evaluate the condition of each pond and assess any evidence of cracking, estimate water depth, note soil moisture condition and general condition of the ponds. the land management and riparian habitat, but do not exhibit continuous flows should be characterized to identify their source and overall function.

Perennial flows in Link Canyon is related to the Link Canyon Spring, GW-21 and Link Canyon Mine flow only a couple hundred feet. The canyon is naturally dry, and the surrounding Blackhawk Formation assimilates the low volume spring flow depriving the canyon of any identifiable riparian zone. The extent of the riparian vegetation associated with the spring and workings is included in chapter 3 of the MRP. Secondary mining will not take place under the spring either the spring or abandon mine.

TECHNICAL MEMO

Baseline cumulative impact area information.

The permittee discusses potential impacts in Chapter 7, Page 7-25. has identified the potential subsidence limits, Plate 5-10. Potential impacts are discussed in Appendix 7-18.

Modeling.

Using groundwater chemistry analysis, the recharge to the springs is believed to result primarily from flows in the Castlegate Sandstone as compared to the overlying Price River Formation. This appears to indicate that recharge to the springs in the Box Canyon tributaries is derived primarily from the area 1,000 feet of the canyon rims (FEIS) and (MAYO). Theoretically, decreased stresses along the canyons allows movement of the blocks in the fractured Castlegate Sandstone to widen creating more storage and conductivity of groundwater. Using Plate 5-2c, the escarpment boundary was used to draw a line 1000 feet in from the canyon rim. This revealed the area of potential recharge. A second chemical analysis suggests that the recharge locations for groundwater in the Castlegate Sandstone are different than the groundwater in the Blackhawk Formation, or that the groundwater recharged under different climatic conditions. This appears to be inconclusive.

Alternative water source information.

The permittee describes water resources and identifies the water rights in Appendix 7-1 and their locations on Plate 7-2. A plan to mitigate water resource impacts by alternative water source has not been identified.

Probable hydrologic consequences determination.

The probable hydrologic consequences are described in Appendix 7-18. There are two mechanisms where by ground and surface water can be adversely impacted, the direct interception of groundwater by opening mine workings and interception or rerouting of surface and groundwater by strata deformation.

Mayo addressed these issues on Pages 47 and 48, Appendix 7-18 he states that groundwater in the Blackhawk Formation is discontinuous and horizons of shales and mudstones and shales. Groundwater from three Blackhawk Formation springs (Pines 204, 206 and 303) were radiocarbon dated between 500 years to 4000 years. The ages of these waters are younger than the water encountered in the mine workings, which yield dates between 7500 years to 20,000 years.

As mining progresses toward this area more information pertaining to impacts can be obtained. By extrapolating new information to similar areas on the PTL operational and reclamational predictions can be made. Mining of the upper reach of the West Fork of Box Canyon has revealed how subsidence fractures have developed when mining panels parallel and directly under a canyon. Mapping, measuring and analyzing these fractures over time can

provide information on fracture healing, shallow groundwater interception and the effects of subsidence on local vegetation.

Findings:

The permittee has supplied sufficient information to satisfy the requirements of this section.

MAPS, PLANS, AND CROSS SECTIONS OF RESOURCE INFORMATION

Regulatory Reference: 30 CFR Sec 783.24, 783.25; R645-301-323, -301-411, -301-521, -301-622, -301-722, -301-731.

Analysis:

Affected Area Boundary Maps

Several maps have been submitted, such as Plate 7-2, which show the topography, mine plan area, the proposed mine layout, structural features, hydrologic, archeological sites and wildlife habitat. Plate 5-10 identifies the extent of expected subsidence. In recognition of the Record of Decision by the U.S. Forest Service the permittee have identified the West Fork of the East Fork of Box Canyon as a non-subsidence area.

Coal Resource and Geologic Information Maps

The permittee has provided maps and text (Chapter 6) identifying the geological resources, stratigraphic and structural features of the Pines Tract Lease area.

Existing Structures and Facilities Maps

Archeological sites, dirt roads, fences and runoff ponds and stock watering troughs are the only manmade structures that exist on the PTL (Plate 5-5). The ponds were developed as a watering source for livestock.

Existing Surface Configuration Maps

Several maps, including Plate 7-3, Hydrologic Monitoring, depict the surface configuration of the PTL.

Mine Workings Maps

Several maps, including Plate 5-7, Upper Hiawatha Mine Plan, 5 Year Projection, have been revised to show the mining sequence in the PTL. Plate 5-7 shows already shows the that operations are already advancing according to previous approved plans incorporated into the

TECHNICAL MEMO

MRP on September 2, 1999 as associated with the 160 acre incidental boundary change.

Monitoring Sampling Location Maps

The permittee has supplied surface and groundwater monitoring location maps. Plate 7-3 identifies spring, stream and well monitoring locations. All sites are accompanied with an elevation identification.

Permit Area Boundary Maps

Several maps have been submitted, such as Plate 7-2, which show the topography, mine plan area, the proposed mine layout, structural features, hydrologic, archeological sites and wildlife habitat. Plate 5-10 identifies the extent of expected subsidence.

Surface and Subsurface Ownership Maps

The permittee has identified the surface and subsurface ownership on Plate 5-6. The surface is U. S. Forest Service managed land the subsurface is federal coal reserves.

Surface and Subsurface Water Resource Maps

Surface and groundwater rights are identified on Plate 7-2. Water has been allocated for stock ponds, springs and streams. The perennial flows in the west and East Forks of Box Canyon as well as the main channel are allocated. Water rights have also been issued on Muddy Creek a receiving stream of Box Canyon.

The permittee has provided a hydrologic monitoring stations map on Plate 7-3 of the SR. All spring found during the baseline studies are presented on Plate 7-3 of the MRP. Additionally, all spring identified in the USGS publication by Thiros and Cordy (1991) were included on the map and labeled with the prefix "GW-". Some problems exist in locating or cross-referencing springs monitored by Mayo and Associates, SUFCO and the USGS monitored springs. This mapping matter is discussed on page 7-38 of the permit modification.

Contour Maps

Several maps such as Plate 7-2 have incorporated contour intervals on the maps.

Findings:

The permittee has submitted sufficient information to address this section.

OPERATION PLAN

MINING OPERATIONS AND FACILITIES

Regulatory Reference: 30 CFR Sec. 784.2, 784.11; R645-301-231, -301-526, -301-528.

Analysis:

General

The permittee has identified probable hydrologic consequences of mining the PTL, which are described in Appendix 7-18, Probable Hydrologic Consequences. The PHC was incorporated into the MRP as part of the 160 acre Incidental Boundary Change. The geologic setting controls the flow patterns and quality of surface and groundwater as they come in contact with the mineral constituents of the strata. The SR describes the Castlegate Sandstone which forms the rim and plateau of Box Canyon and Muddy Creek Canyon. The Blackhawk Formation, which contains the coal bearing units, underlies the Castlegate Sandstone. The Blackhawk Formation contains interbedded sequences of sandstones, siltstones, shales, mudstones and coal. The Upper Price River Formation overlies the area to the east of the canyon and some portions of the proposed lease. Several Plates submitted by the permittee show the topographic features of the area.

From past mining experience in areas adjacent to Box Canyon, it can be expected that fractures will develop at the surface, even when overburden height is as great as 800 feet. Recent, fractures along the canyon rim of the West Fork of Box Canyon and past mining under stock pond have shown that the natural joint pattern, which occurs in the area, can promote the effects of surface subsidence. The permittee has presented information that minimizes the effects of subsidence and fracturing. Fracture healing and groundwater flow patterns have been described, however conclusive evidence for fracture healing or mitigation has not been proven.

Information is still being collected and assembled from mining the West Fork of Box Canyon and the 150 acre incidental boundary change. Determination of impacts will not be concluded until the area is mined and hydrologic and subsidence data is analyzed.

The best method to obtain information for future impacts is to monitor impacted areas and try to extrapolate the information to future mine areas. Information is needed to determine if fractures close or heal, groundwater in the Castlegate Sandstone is reestablished after a time period, vegetation is sustained by long-term groundwater sources or by short term surface water sources.

Type and Method of Mining Operations

The permittee proposed to use the longwall mining method in the PTL. Overburden

TECHNICAL MEMO

ranges between 400 feet to a little over 900 feet. Areas where overburden is less than 600 feet will not be mined by the permittee. The U.S. Forest Service has stipulated in the Record of Decision (ROD) that areas under perennial streams will not be mined. In response the permittee has established barriers under perennial sections of the East Fork of Box Canyon that will protect the stream and adjacent areas of the canyon rim from subsidence.

Facilities and Structures

Mining is planned under most existing structures which include archeological sites, dirt roads, fences and runoff ponds and stock watering troughs. The permittee discussed potential impacts to surface structures and hydrologic sources and concluded that adverse impacts will not occur.

The U.S. Forest service has designated two archeological shelter and sites for protection against subsidence. One site, the Elusive Peacock is directly above a barrier established to protect a perennial stream and should not be impacted. The Refugia/Grotto site is located near a barrier wall separating the PTL from the Quitchupah Lease. This site contains a perennial pond at the base of the cliff which is the supply source of riparian habitat in the vicinity and downstream of the shelter. The permittee has planned to provide protection to the site from subsidence. The longwall panels in the PTL had to be realigned. The panels have been shifted at an angle to get the Refugia/Grotto area out of the nagle of draw. With the new alignment of the panels the site will not fall within the influence of the 15 degree angle of draw and impact zone.

The Forest Service has indicated that some stock water monitoring ponds in the region have been impacted by surface fracturing when undermined, while others have not. Rock pond and Johnson Pond in the Quitchupah Lease leak as a result of undermining and subsidence. These ponds are supplied by ephemeral runoff. Grouting of the pond has been conducted, however after heavy rainstorms personnel from the USFS witnessed that the ponds were no holding water. The permittee anticipates that eventually sediment will fill any fractures that have developed to drain the pond and their use will be restored. It is not possible to predict the extent or duration of impacts. The permittee has also proposed mitigation plans to repair any damage.

Findings:

The permittee meets the requirements of this section.

HYDROLOGIC INFORMATION

Regulatory Reference: 30 CFR Sec. 773.17, 774.13, 784.14, 784.16, 784.29, 817.41, 817.42, 817.43, 817.45, 817.49, 817.56, 817.57; R645-300-140, -300-141, -300-142, -300-143, -300-144, -300-145, -300-146, -300-147, -300-147, -300-148, -301-512, -301-514, -301-521, -301-531, -301-532, -301-533, -301-536, -301-542, -301-720, -301-731, -301-732, -301-733, -301-742, -301-743, -301-750, -301-761, -301-764.

Analysis:

Ground-water monitoring.

Longwall mining is planned for the PTL. The panel alignment trends north-south. Subsidence of up to four feet is expected along the midline of the panels and subsidence cracks are expected to occur. AGAPITO estimates that fractures of 1 to 4 inches can occur in the canyons and fractures up to 2 feet can take place on the canyon rim where panels are parallel the canyon.

Several springs are located in the canyon and at its confluence with the West Fork of Box Canyon. There are also several springs in the main channel of Box Canyon, which eventually drain into Muddy Creek. The upper reaches of the East Fork of Box Canyon are what the U.S. Forest Service term a perennially functioning stream, Page 3-61, FEIS, Page 7 ROD. Carter Reed, U.S. Forest Service, Geologist defined the upper reaches as flows on the surface and in the alluvial system which contributes to the base flow of the down-stream system and supports riparian vegetation, Personal Communication, January 13, 2000. The canyons exhibit perennial flows near the confluence of the East Fork tributaries, shown on Figures 3, 7, 8 and 9 of the Pines Tract P.C., Appendix 7-18. The permittee proposes a groundwater monitoring program which includes springs and wells. The groundwater monitoring plan is identified in Table 7-2 of the SR.

An area has been identified during the review that has a potential being impacted during mining. The information presented by the permittee and research reports presents a scenario where subsidence fractures could develop along canyon rims, and in one canyon, the East Fork of the East Fork of Box Canyon, which is planned to be undermined. This canyon is also considered perennially functioning. The propagation of cracks may influence the flow pattern within the recharge zone (1000 feet in from the rim of the canyons) identified by Mayo. The seep and spring flow in this canyon is minor in comparison to the watershed, but significant to the riparian resource. It has been proposed that flows will be reestablish in time as the voids fill with groundwater or sediment to reach the original levels.

The permittee plans to monitor point GW-20 the flume in the main fork of Box Canyon. The stream becomes perennial at this point.

The permittee has committed to develop and implement a bi-annual fracture monitoring program to analyze the subsidence cracks in the vicinity adjacent to the West Fork of Box Canyon. Similar data will also be collected if subsidence cracks develop in the areas between the West Fork of Box Canyon and the East Fork of Box Canyon where canyon walls do not appear. Information gathered from this monitoring program, along with previous studies that SUFCO has performed, will be used to predict the effects of subsidence within other areas of the Pines Trace and other areas of the mine where similar geomorphologic and geologic condition occur. The program will be developed and implemented by September 2000.

TECHNICAL MEMO

The information collected during the studies should provide insight to the type of fracturing that will take place in the eastern sections of the PTL and potential rates of fracture closing.

Surface-water monitoring.

The permittee has submitted information to address surface water monitoring sites in the text of the MRP and on Plate 7-3. The permittee recommends monitoring seven stream locations in Table 5 of the P.C. These locations include Pines 106, Pines 108, Pines 403, Pines 405, 406, Pines 407, Pines 408 and USFS-109.

During a previous technical analysis some of the reports and literature indicate that the upper reach of the East Fork of the East Fork of Box Canyon showed a potential for perennial flow. The upper reaches of the tributaries contain low flow perennial springs, but do not supply continuous flow along to the upper reaches of the stream channel in the East Fork of Box Canyon. The upper reaches of the East Fork are shown be lined with riparian habitat in the PHC, Figure 3 and the FEIS, Figure 3-11. This area is identified as perennially functioning according the Page 7, of the Pines Tract Lease Record of Decision.

Although identified as containing riparian habitat, the East Fork of the East Fork of Box Canyon does not have the same designation of protection as the West Fork of the East Fork of Box Canyon in the FEIS. The perennial springs in the upper reaches of the canyon do not sustain a constant or perennial flow in the channel. The USFS allowed the development and longwall panels under the channel. The USFS has asked the permittee to quantify the riparian and spring resources to determine the extent of any impacts.

In a meeting between the Division and SUFCO on February 25, 2000, SUFCO personnel reaffirmed their position that the East Fork of the East Fork of Box Canyon is not a perennial stream, that it flows during spring runoff and after periods of substantial rainfall. SUFCO contests the Division's claim that the channel should be monitored during September or October to determine if the upper stream channels in the East Fork of the East Fork of Box Canyon contain base streamflows. SUFCO contends that no studies show the stream to be perennial, supply contrasting information from the FEIS, January 1999; a report by Mayo and associates, July 12, 1999; Ayres Associate Report, November 1998 and from SUFCO, observation descriptions while collecting baseline information during quarterly surveys.

Acid and toxic-forming materials.

Information on acid and toxic forming materials is presented in Chapter 6 of the MRP and on page 53 of the P.C. Sulfide mineral pyrite has been identified in SUFCO Mine. Although pyrite oxidation does occur acid mine drainage does not. Alkalinity of mine drainage water typically exceeds acidity by a factor of 20. The permittee claims that no acid-forming materials or any toxic forming materials have been identified or are suspected to exist in materials disturbed in the Pines Tract Lease.

Discharges into an underground mine.

There are no planned discharges into underground mines for the PTL. Only on breakout is planned for the PTL which is down-dip in Muddy Creek Canyon.

Gravity discharges.

It is anticipated that in-mine water will be generated from mining the PTL. There are no gravity discharges currently planned from the PTL site. Intercepted groundwater will be used in the mining process and excess water will be pumped from the mine to the Quitchupah Creek UPDES mine discharge site. The mine is currently discharging approximately 1500 gallons per minute from the Quitchupah Lease into Quitchupah Creek.

Water quality standards and effluent limitations.

The mine plans to maintain water quality standards from disturbed areas and mine water discharges.

Stream buffer zones.

The permittee has implemented stream buffer zones along perennial reaches that have been designated perennial or have an overburden height of less than 600 feet.

Sediment control measures.

The permittee proposes to construct a breakout for mine ventilation. The disturbed will be small, approximately .01 acres. The area is very steep and no major hydrologic structures will be needed. The permittee plans to handle runoff control by placing silt fences below the disturbed area to trap and contain sediments.

Casing and sealing of wells.

The permittee has submitted plans in the approved MRP to case and seal all monitoring wells in accordance with their reclamation timetable.

Findings:

The permittee has submitted sufficient information to address this section.

MAPS, PLANS, AND CROSS SECTIONS OF MINING OPERATIONS

TECHNICAL MEMO

Analysis:

Affected area maps.

An affected area map showing the extent of subsidence which incorporates the angle of draw has been submitted.

Mining facilities maps.

The breakout area planned for the Muddy Creek drainage does not show detail for features, sediment control or topsoil storage.

Mine workings maps.

In a meeting with the BLM on January 22, 2000, Stan Perks mentioned that the new R2P2 showing the mine working has changed from the copy submitted with the SR. Mike Davis also mentioned changes in panel widths that are planned for the Pines Tract panels during a Link Canyon meeting on January 27, 2000.

Monitoring and sample location maps.

The permittee has submitted Plate 7-3 identifying the location of surface and groundwater monitoring locations.

Findings:

The permittee has submitted sufficient information to address this section.

RECLAMATION PLAN

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

Regulatory Reference: PL 95-87 Sec. 515 and 516; 30 CFR Sec. 784.13, 784.14, 784.15, 784.16, 784.17, 784.18, 784.19, 784.20, 784.21, 784.22, 784.23, 784.24, 784.25, 784.26; R645-301-231, -301-233, -301-322, -301-323, -301-331, -301-333, -301-341, -301-342, -301-411, -301-412, -301-422, -301-512, -301-513, -301-521, -301-522, -301-525, -301-526, -301-527, -301-528, -301-529, -301-531, -301-533, -301-534, -301-536, -301-537, -301-542, -301-623, -301-624, -301-625, -301-626, -301-631, -301-632, -301-731, -301-723, -301-724, -301-725, -301-726, -301-728, -301-729, -301-731, -301-732, -301-733, -301-746, -301-764, -301-830.

Analysis:

The permittee has provided a reclamation plan in the MRP, page 7-48. Since only a the

breakout is proposed for surface disturbance, surface reclamation of the PTL is relatively small. Any surface disturbance from subsidence or affects to the hydrologic system on the PTL would be covered in mitigation during the operation phase.

Findings:

The permittee has submitted sufficient information for this section

HYDROLOGIC INFORMATION

Regulatory Reference: 30 CFR Sec. 784.14, 784.29, 817.41, 817.42, 817.43, 817.45, 817.49, 817.56, 817.57; R645-301-512, -301-513, -301-514, -301-515, -301-532, -301-533, -301-542, -301-723, -301-724, -301-725, -301-726, -301-728, -301-729, -301-731, -301-733, -301-742, -301-743, -301-750, -301-751, -301-760, -301-761.

Analysis:

Surface and groundwater monitoring.

The permittee has identified a surface and ground water monitoring plan outlined in Tables 7-2 and 7-3. Additional sites have been requested to be monitored by the U. S. Forest Service. Although a monitoring plan has been established and the permittee should identify the period of monitoring which includes a time table when monitoring will cease.

Acid and toxic-forming materials.

Iron-sulfide is present in the mine capable of forming acids. The buffering capacity of carbonates in surrounding rock and continuous flow of groundwater flow prevent concentrated acid build-up.

Discharges into an underground mine.

The permittee plans no discharge of fluids or materials into the mine.

Gravity discharges.

The permittee describes the process for discharging intercepted groundwater. Currently all intercepted in the mine is discharged to Quitchupah Creek via a UPDES permit. The mine currently discharges approximately 1000 gpm or 2.25 cfs from the Quitchupah portal. As mining progresses into the PTL the intercepted groundwater will also be discharged to Quitchupah Creek. The Muddy Creek ventilation breakout proposed in the mine plan is down-dip of the mine.

TECHNICAL MEMO

Current plans are to seal the breakout, this will cause groundwater to back up behind the seals and could seep from the mine. SUFCO has submitted plans which show the designs for a cast in place, MSHA approved seal. The seal could be subjected to a maximum hydrostatic pressure of 69 psi if the mine were completely filled up with water to the highest elevation point in the mine. This hydrostatic pressure will be designed into the seal design when constructed.. The MSHA seal will be installed with a minimum thickness of 3 feet and with a minimum compressive strength of 200 psi.

Sedimentation ponds.

There are no sediment ponds associated with the PTL.

Impoundments.

There are no impoundments associated with the PTL.

Casing and sealing of wells.

When no longer needed for monitoring or other use designated by UDOGM and upon a finding of no adverse environmental or health and safety effects, or unless approved for transfer as a water well, each well will be capped, sealed, backfilled. Wells will be sealed and backfilled by placing a concrete plug from TD to surface.

Findings:

The permittee has submitted sufficient information to address this section.

MAPS, PLANS, AND CROSS SECTIONS OF RECLAMATION OPERATIONS

Regulatory Reference: 30 CFR Sec. 784.23; R645-301-323, -301-512, -301-521, -301-542, -301-632, -301-731.

Analysis:

The surface disturbance should be limited to reclamation of the breakout area which covers an area of 0.01 acres.

Reclamation backfilling and grading maps.

Backfilling and sealing of the breakout portal is identified in the MRP as the standard method of sealing portals.

Findings:

The permittee has submitted sufficient information for this section.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The permittee has addressed the Hydrologic Sections concerning this Technical Review of the Pines Tract Lease. The Hydrologic Sections of the Technical Analysis is recommended for approval.

sm
O:\041002.CON\FINAL\dwdSR99d-4.wpd